UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandriu, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,427	07/07/2003	Neil Andrew Abercrombie Simpson	MRKS/0091	7722
7590 08/27/2007 WILLIAM B. PATTERSON			EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.			NEUDER, WILLIAM P	
Suite 1500 3040 Post Oak Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/614,427	ABERCROMBIE SIMPSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		William P. Neuder	3672			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2007</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are allowed.						
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>141-146 and 148</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>147</u> is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
. —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·				
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application received in Application received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-6,8,10,13-35,38-43,55-58,61,67,70-72,81-85,87,99,100,102-108,112,117 and 119-150.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims allowed are 1-6,8,10,13-35,38-43,55-58,61,67,70-72,81-85,87,99,100,102-108,112-140,149 and 150.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,427

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 141-146 and 148 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quigley et al 6148866 in view of Wood et al 6073692.

Art Unit: 3672

Quigley discloses a downhole tubular 12,14 having helical corrugations (see figure 9). Element 62 is located in the troughs of the corrugations. The tubular is made from metal and has a uniform thickness. Quigley is considered to disclose all of the claimed features except for the corrugations and the un-corrugated portions have the same wall thickness. Wood et al discloses corrugated casing (figure 5) having the corrugations and un-corrugated sections having the same wall thickness. Clearly there are at least two ways of forming corrugated tubulars, cutting grooves (Quigley) or stamping (Wood). It would have been considered obvious to form the corrugated tubing of Quigley having corrugations of the same wall thickness as un-corrugated portions as taught by Wood since the devices are considered equivalent parts for performing equivalent functions and would be considered an obvious design choice. As to claims 142-146, Quigley, column 17, lines 15-20 state that element 62 can be a hydraulic medium, a pneumatic medium, an electrical medium, an optical medium or any material or substance capable of being modulated with information data or power. As to claim 148, the troughs are external since they are on the outside of 14.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 147 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-6,8,10,13-35,38-43,55-58,61,67,70-72,81-85,87,99,100,102-108,112-117,119-140,149 and 150 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3672

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 141-146 and 148 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Neuder whose telephone number is 571-272-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William P Neuder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.